TOWN OF ESSEX LAND USE APPLICATION PART ONE

PLEASE CHEC	K THE APPROPRI	ATE LIN	NE(S) AND ATTACH 1	THE APPROPI	RIATE AP	PLICATION	(S):
SPECIAL EXCE	PTION		VARIANCE/ APPEAL				
SITE PLAN REV	IEW		APPROVAL OF LOCA	TION			
INLAND WETLA	ANDS PERMIT		REGULATION TEXT A	MENDMENT		_XA	
	LANDS PERMIT APPROVAL		ZONE CHANGE				
- AGENI AI			COASTAL SITE PLAN	REVIEW			
WETLAND PER	MIT TRANSFER		MODIFICATION OF PR	RIOR APPROVA	AL		
SUBDIVISION /	RESUBDIVISION		SPECIAL FLOOD HAZ	ARD AREA PE	RMIT		
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Amend Zoning Regulation 40.L							
PROJECT NA	ME:N/A						
STREET ADD	RESS OF PROPE	RTY					
ASSESSOR'S	MAP L	TO.	LOT SIZE _		D	ISTRICT _	
	Piage Management						
-	49 Plains Rd., Es	ssex, C	T 06426	PHONE	(203)	515-1051	
APPLICANT'S	S AGENT (if any)	Atty	. Michael J. Sweeney	y - % Sweei	ney Law F	irm	
_	P. O. Box 1370,	<u>Madison</u>	, CT 06443	PHONE	(203) 244	-9522	
ENGINEER.SI	URVEYOR/ARCH	IITECT					
				PHONE			
COMPLETEI IN ACCORD. 2) TH	D, SIGNED, AND SI ANCE WITH THE A E SUBMITTAL OF	UBMIT APPLICA THIS A	LAND USE OFFICE. TO FED WITH THE REQUABLE REGULATIONS PPLICATION CONST OR ITS STAFF TO EN	JIRED FEE(S) S. ITUTES THE	AND MAI	P(S) PREPA Y OWNER'	

3) I HERBY TO PAY ALL ADDITIONAL FEES AND/OR ADDRESS SUCH COSTS DEEMED NECESSARY BY THE LAND USE OFFICE AS DESCRIBED IN PART THREE OF THIS APPLICATION.

PURPOSE OF INSPECTION.

TOWN OF ESSEX

Zoning Commission

Essex Town Hall, 29 West Avenue Essex, Ct 06426

Petition for a Text Amendment of Zoning Regulations

or

Petition for a Change to the Town's Zoning Map

		PARTITO				
_	ture of Property Owner(s):	02/01/222				
OI F	etitioner	Michael J. Sweeney, Atty. for Piage Management Corp				
Address:		% Sweeney Law Firm, P. O. Box 1370				
		Madison, CT 06443				
Applic	cation #	Date of Receipt				
The u	ndersigned request a text amend	dment to the Essex Zoning Regulations.				
[x]	This petition requests a change in zoning regulations and there is attached:					
	, .	d change in the zoning regulations clearly ions to be repealed and the new provisions to be				
		ensive statement of the reasons for any proposed pecial interest the Petitioner(s) may have in such				
[]	This petition requests a change to the Town's Zoning Map and there is attached:					
	, .	e area to be re-classified and specifying the present d new classification with existing and proposed				
		f the names and addresses of the record owners of				

3) A complete written description, by metes and bounds or courses and distances, of the location of any new zoning district boundary or boundaries proposed.

affected by such reclassification, and

Proposed Zoning Regulation Change.

Petition respectfully requests that the Essex Zoning Regulation 40.L be amended as follows:

Currently:

40.L LOTS PARTIALLY IN DIFFERENT DISTRICTS. Except for maximum building coverage, where portions of any lot are in different districts, the Commission may, by the grant of a special exception, except from the requirements of all but one of such districts, a particular use or improvement upon such portion of the lot as is within 30 feet of the boundary of the district the requirements of which are to apply. Where portions of any lot are in different districts, the provision of that district which prescribe the smallest percentage for maximum building coverage shall govern the entire lot.

Be Changed to:

40.L LOTS PARTIALLY IN DIFFERENT DISTRICTS. The Commission may, by the grant of a special exception, except from the requirements of all but one of such districts, a particular use or improvement upon such portion of the lot as is within 30 feet of the boundary of the district the requirements of which are to apply. Where portions of any lot are in different districts, the provision of that district which prescribe the smallest percentage for maximum building coverage shall govern the entire lot.

The omits the carve out for maximum building coverage and still requires a special exception permit. The regulation maintains oversight from over development as a matter of right.

"Except for maximum building coverage"

The basis for the request is that the regulation, if strictly applied unduly burdens the development of a parcel of land that overlaps two zones.

Hypothetically, a property owner would be restricted in developing any portion of a parcel that had an opportunity, for a special exception even if the special exception was for good and legal cause. It is particularly draconian if the portion of the parcel where the exception was sought was in a more permissive zone and the less permissive zone is left untouched (and compliant).